UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,054	06/15/2005	Hiroshi Yokoyama	1806.1007	4255
21171 7590 02/26/2009 STAAS & HALSEY LLP EXAMINER				IINER
SUITE 700			HEINCER, LIAM J	
WASHINGTO	RK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intoniou Cummon	10/539,054	YOKOYAMA ET AL.	
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Liam J. Heincer	1796	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Liam J. Heincer</u> .	(3) <u>Tamoka Yoda</u> .		
(2) <u>Mark Henry</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 24 February 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-8</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of record.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: The examiner clarified the the English equivalent listed in the first action. The applicate Examiner further clarified the rationale behind the combination (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON reverse side or on attached sheet.	at the citations in the final rejected proposed an amendment retion of Kato et al. and Scardin Iments which the examiner agopy of the amendments that wid.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE Last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	ction for Kato et equiring a metl poo et al reed would render the estate of the second se	er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
	/Mark Eashoo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 1796	

Application No.

Applicant(s)